## Latvia

## Overall Risk Score: 28

## Risk Level: Iow

This score is a composite of the four domain scores discussed below, which are weighted and combined to produce the overall country risk score. Each country is given a score from 1 to 100 for each domain and for the total bribery risk. A higher score indicates a higher risk of business bribery. Assessments of whether a given domain score is "good" or "poor" are made relative to all other jurisdictions within that domain.

1. Business Interactions with Government: Latvia receives a good score of 32 in this domain, based on a low degree of government interaction, a low expectation of bribes, and a medium regulatory burden.
2. Anti-bribery Deterrence and Enforcement: Latvia receives a good score of 32 in this domain, based on a high quality of anti-bribery dissuasion and a high quality of anti-bribery enforcement.
3. Government and Civil Service Transparency: Latvia receives a good score of 23 in this domain, based on good governmental transparency and good transparency of financial interests.
4. Capacity for Civil Society Oversight: Latvia receives a good score of 23 in this domain, based on a high degree of media freedom/quality and a high degree of civil society engagement.


## TRACE Bribery Risk Typology:

Smaller democracy with strong civil society
This group can be described as democratic, stable states with strong civil society and smaller economies.

| Comparable Jurisdictions | Matrix Scores |
| :--- | :---: |
| Uruguay | 26 |
| Slovenia | 28 |
| Costa Rica | 30 |

## Learn more about the TRACE Bribery Risk Matrix and Bribery Risk Typology at matrixbrowser.TRACEinternational.org

