




# Nepal

**Overall Risk Score: 56**

**Risk Level: medium**

This score is a composite of the four domain scores discussed below, which are weighted and combined to produce the overall country risk score. Each country is given a score from 1 to 100 for each domain and for the total bribery risk. A higher score indicates a higher risk of business bribery. Assessments of whether a given domain score is “good” or “poor” are made relative to all other jurisdictions within that domain.

- 1. Business Interactions with Government:** Nepal receives a medium score of 60 in this domain, based on a medium degree of government interaction, a high expectation of bribes, and a medium regulatory burden.
- 2. Anti-bribery Deterrence and Enforcement:** Nepal receives a poor score of 58 in this domain, based on a low quality of anti-bribery dissuasion and a low quality of anti-bribery enforcement.
- 3. Government and Civil Service Transparency:** Nepal receives a medium score of 54 in this domain, based on medium governmental transparency and medium transparency of financial interests.
- 4. Capacity for Civil Society Oversight:** Nepal receives a medium score of 49 in this domain, based on a medium degree of media freedom/quality and a medium degree of civil society engagement.



## TRACE Bribery Risk Typology:

### Fragile state

This group is typified by a fragile central government that lacks the capacity to control the extent of its territory or all the rungs of its bureaucracy.

Comparable Jurisdictions	Matrix Scores
Burkina Faso	54
Sri Lanka	55
Zambia	55

Learn more about the TRACE Bribery Risk Matrix and Bribery Risk Typology at [matrixbrowser.TRACEinternational.org](http://matrixbrowser.TRACEinternational.org)